

Pediatrician Participation in Medicaid/SCHIP

Survey of Fellows of the American Academy of Pediatrics, 2000



Division of
Health Policy Research

BETH K. YUDKOWSKY, MPH
SUK-FONG S. TANG, PhD
ALICIA M. SISTON, MPH

Wisconsin

This report includes Private Office-based Primary Care
Pediatricians in Direct Patient Care

American Academy of Pediatrics





PEDIATRICIAN PARTICIPATION IN MEDICAID / SCHIP - Wisconsin

Survey of AAP Members, 2000 ¹

	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>East North Central</u> (Region includes: IL, IN, MI, OH & WI)	<u>US</u>
<u>Survey Sample</u>			
Number of Non-federal Pediatricians (1998) ²	841	8,016	54,988
AAP Fellows Surveyed	300	1,601	13,050
Survey Response Rate	74.0%	65.6%	66.8%
Surveys Included in this Report ¹	103	425	3,773
<u>Medicaid Enrollment and Expenditures, FY 1998</u> ³			
Total Medicaid Enrollees	538,229	5,689,616	40,377,603
Percent Child Enrollees ⁴	55.6%	57.9%	56.1%
Total Medicaid Payments	\$2,206 M	\$21,409 M	\$142,058 M
Percent Payments to Children ⁴	21.4%	22.1%	23.3%
Average Payments per Child Enrollee	\$1,582	\$1,408	\$1,449
<u>Pediatrician Participation in Medicaid/SCHIP</u>			
Currently Participate in Medicaid / SCHIP	96.1% / NS	92.0% / 85.6%	87.8% / 86.4%
Percent who accept ALL Medicaid/ SCHIP / Private Patients	<u>Medicaid</u> 76.4% <u>SCHIP</u> NS <u>Private</u> 79.8%	<u>Medicaid</u> 51.2% <u>SCHIP</u> 52.3% <u>Private</u> 66.2%	<u>Medicaid</u> 54.6% <u>SCHIP</u> 60.2% <u>Private</u> 70.8%
with Patient Caseload At Capacity	79.5% ~ NS 70.5% ~	48.6% 50.6% 58.7%	52.3% 59.0% 66.9%
with Patient Caseload Under Capacity	73.5% ~ NS 97.1%	56.9% 53.7% 80.0%	59.3% 65.5% 83.6%
with Patient Caseload Over Capacity	70.0% ~ NS 60.0% ~	46.5% 51.8% 57.1%	53.8% 56.2% 62.0%
<u>Medicaid Reimbursement and Participation</u>			
Medicaid Payments Cover Overhead ⁵	8.2%	7.0%	14.9%
Medicaid payments Do Not Cover Overhead	42.3%	58.3%	51.5%
<i>Do not know whether Medicaid Payments Cover Overhead</i>	49.5%	34.7%	33.7%
Percent who will see more Medicaid patients with Increased Reimbursement	21.4%	34.2%	31.6%
Percentage of Customary Fee for Well-child Visit Needed to:			
Accept More, or any, Medicaid patients	78.5%	81.7%	83.1%
Accept All Medicaid patients	83.6%	87.1%	87.3%

1 - Report based on a national total of 3773 returned survey. 2 - AMA Master File 1998. 3 - HCFA2082, FY 1998. Data for Oklahoma is based on fee-for-service payments per child recipient for 1997 and are not included in the regional and state totals. 4 - Under Age 21. 5 - Percent of pediatricians who indicate that Medicaid reimburses enough to cover their overhead for seeing Medicaid patients.

~ Interpret with caution - either standard error is greater than 20% of estimated average, or 95% Confidence Interval exceeds plus/minus 1 percentage points of estimated proportion, or data is not available.

'NS' No SCHIP program.

PEDIATRICIAN PARTICIPATION IN MEDICAID / SCHIP - Wisconsin

Survey of AAP Members, 2000 (Continued)¹

	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>East North Central</u> (Region includes: IL, IN, MI, OH & WI)	<u>US</u>
<u>Reasons for Limiting Participation in Medicaid</u>			
<i>Percent Pediatricians who rated reason "Very Important"</i>			
Low Reimbursement	52.1%	60.7%	56.3%
Paperwork Concerns	28.4%	35.3%	39.4%
Unpredictable Payments	34.8%	42.7%	38.7%
Payment Delays	24.7%	38.3%	31.2%
Missed Appointments	28.9%	38.5%	34.1%
Increasing State Managed Care Requirements	23.7%	30.6%	30.5%
Medicaid Program Too Complex	22.8%	23.1%	28.1%
Emergency Room Use	22.4%	34.8%	30.0%
Medicaid Patients Less Compliant	19.8%	31.0%	30.5%
Regulations Interfere with Quality Medical Care	18.3%	20.9%	18.3%
<u>Managed Care Plans Requiring Medicaid Patients</u> ³			
Acceptance of Medicaid Patients Required	10.1%	7.7%	10.1%
Acceptance of Medicaid Patients Not Required	22.2%	43.4%	43.0%
<i>Do not know</i>	67.7%	48.9%	46.9%
<u>Medicaid Patients' Payment Systems</u>			
Managed Care, capitation	29.1% [~]	27.7%	33.3%
Managed Care, reduced fee-for-service	46.5%	42.9%	42.7%
Non-managed Care System (i.e., traditional fee-for-service)	24.0% [~]	25.8%	21.4%
Other	0.4% [~]	3.6%	2.6%
<u>SCHIP Patients' Payment Systems</u>			
Managed Care, capitation	NS	13.6%	33.6%
Managed Care, reduced fee-for-service	NS	45.1%	47.2%
Non-managed Care System (i.e., traditional fee-for-service)	NS	37.4%	17.1%
Other	NS	3.9%	2.1%

1 - Report based on a national total of 3773 returned surveys. 2 - Percent of pediatricians who indicate that Medicaid reimburses enough to cover their overhead for seeing Medicaid patients. 3 - Percent of pediatricians who report that some managed care plans in their community require physicians to accept Medicaid patients in order to accept private patients.

[~] Interpret with caution - either standard error is greater than 20% of estimated average, or 95% Confidence Interval exceeds plus/minus 10 percentage points of estimated proportion, or data is not available.

'NS' No SCHIP program.

PEDIATRICIAN PARTICIPATION IN MEDICAID / SCHIP - Wisconsin

Survey of AAP Members, 2000 (Continued)¹

	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>East North Central</u> (Region includes: IL, IN, MI, OH & WI)	<u>US</u>
<u>Patient Source of Payment</u>			
Commercial Insurance	69.3%	67.9%	66.6%
Medicaid	22.0%	24.1%	24.0%
SCHIP (if separate from Medicaid)	NS	1.0%	2.6%
Medicare	1.2%	0.8%	0.4%
Uninsured	7.1%	6.2%	6.4%
<i>Percent of Services to Uninsured Patients that are Uncompensated:</i>	33.5%	38.3%	32.7%
<u>Patients' Payment Systems</u>			
Managed Care, capitation	25.3%	24.1%	29.2%
Managed Care, reduced fee-for-service	34.1%	38.4%	39.9%
Non-managed Care System (i.e., traditional fee-for-service)	36.7%	33.8%	27.4%
Other	4.0%	3.6%	3.5%
<u>Patient Caseload</u>			
At Capacity	49.0%	55.2%	59.2%
Under Capacity	39.2%	31.0%	26.5%
Over Capacity	11.8%	13.8%	14.3%
<i>Average Number of Patients in Practice</i>	2,525	3,972	4,396
<u>Work Load</u>			
At Capacity	46.1%	58.5%	60.3%
Under Capacity	39.2%	25.9%	22.9%
Over Capacity	14.7%	15.6%	16.8%
<u>Practice Location</u>			
Large Metropolitan (Population 1 Million or Above)	15.7%	32.6%	29.1%
Small Metropolitan (Population 50K to 1 Million)	47.1%	41.1%	41.9%
Nonmetropolitan (Population Between 2.5K to 50 K)	32.4%	24.9%	26.2%
Rural (Population 2.5 K or Under)	4.9%	1.4%	2.8%

1 - Report based on a national total of 3773 returned surveys.

~ Interpret with caution - either standard error is greater than 20% of estimated average, or 95% Confidence Interval exceeds plus/minus 10 percentage points of estimated proportion, or data is not available.

'NS' No SCHIP program.

PEDIATRICIAN PARTICIPATION IN MEDICAID / SCHIP - Wisconsin

Survey of AAP Members, 2000 (Continued) ¹

	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>East North Central</u>	<u>US</u>
		(Region includes: IL, IN, MI, OH & WI)	
<u>Primary Setting</u>			
Self-Employed Solo Practice	2.9%	11.5%	13.0%
Two Physician Practice	3.9%	10.4%	8.6%
Pediatric Group Practice	22.5%	38.5%	48.4%
Multispecialty Group	58.8%	28.6%	17.4%
HMO (Staff Model)	5.9%	1.6%	4.3%
Medical School	1.0%	0.4%	0.6%
Non-government Hospital	1.0%	3.6%	2.8%
Government Hospital or Clinic	0.0%	no data	0.3%
Other Setting	3.9%	5.4%	4.5%
<u>Percent Time Spent in Professional Activity</u>²			
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>
Direct Patient Care	92.1%	102	91.1%
Self-employed	90.0%	32	91.0%
Other than Self-employed	90.5%	72	81.2%
Administration	10.5%	39	11.6%
Academic Medicine	4.6%	19	9.9%
Research	40.0%	2	13.1%
Fellowship Training	12.5%	2	6.5%
Other Activity	30.6%	5	12.5%
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>
General Pediatrics	99.9%	103	100.0%
Other Specialty / Subspecialty Area	-	0	no data
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>
General Pediatrics	99.9%	103	100.0%
Other Specialty / Subspecialty Area	-	0	no data
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>N</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>N</u>
General Pediatrics	99.9%	103	100.0%
Other Specialty / Subspecialty Area	-	0	no data
<u>Gender</u>			
Male	42.2%		46.1%
Female	57.8%		53.9%
			51.5%
			48.5%
<u>Age</u>			
Under 45	64.0%		53.5%
45 or Above	36.0%		46.5%
Mean Age	42.3		44.3
			52.7%
			47.3%
			44.8

1 - Report based on a national total of 3773 returned surveys.

2 - Percent time spent in professional activity during a typical workweek. Only non-zero entries are included in the average percents. Therefore the data reflect only those who reported any activities in the specified category. Number of unweighted cases contributing to the average are italicized.

- Interpret with caution - either standard error is greater than 20% of estimated average, or 95% Confidence Interval exceeds plus/minus 10 percentage points of estimated proportion, or data is not available.