

April 2015



Dear Interested Physician,

We request your participation in a project called *Reducing Diagnostic Errors in Primary Care Pediatrics (Project RedDE!)*, which is being conducted by the Practice Improvement Network, part of the Quality Improvement Innovation Networks (QuINN) at the American Academy of Pediatrics and is funded by a grant from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

As you know, diagnostic errors (missed, delayed or incorrect diagnoses) cause significant morbidity and mortality in children. Limited pediatric-focused research on diagnostic errors highlights this problem: 54% of pediatricians report making diagnostic errors at least monthly and 45% report making harmful diagnostic errors at least annually. Project RedDE! will provide practicing physicians and their staffs with strategies, tools, and resources necessary to reduce diagnostic errors and patient harm in primary care. Clinical teams will implement tools, strategies, and measures designed to reduce diagnostic errors in three key areas: 1) adolescent depression (affects nearly 20% of teenagers and is misdiagnosed in almost 75% of adolescents), 2) elevated pediatric blood pressure (affects 3-5% of children and is misdiagnosed in 74-87% of patients) and 3) delayed action on laboratory results (83% of physicians report at least 1 delay in reviewing laboratory results during the previous 2 months and 40% report a missed result in a highly computerized health system). These 3 errors were chosen by QuINN practices who voted that they were the most frequent and most important diagnostic errors to address in their practices. Teams will learn and use core quality improvement strategies, such as the Model for Improvement and PDSA cycles, to improve clinic systems and ensure that every child with these potentially harmful diagnoses is appropriately recognized in their practice.

Upon acceptance into the project, practices will be randomized into one of three groups. All 3 groups will participate in the quality improvement collaborative and receive all available resources and coaching as the three diagnostic error-related measures are phased in over a 24 month period. We are looking for primary care practice teams to participate in this project. The core improvement teams will be led by a physician and a nurse, and will include 1 additional team member, such as an administrator or office staff. Local mental health practitioners, school liaisons, hypertension specialists, and/or lab technicians are also encouraged to participate in the project as additional core improvement members or on the local team. Participants should have a willingness and commitment to work with their teams to improve care and an interest in sharing information learned with other practice staff and project participants. **The commitment for participation is for approximately 31 months.**

- ➔ **It is advised that each practice as a whole have at least 30 encounters with unique patients aged 11 and above monthly and about 30 encounters with unique patients aged 3 and above monthly.**
- ➔ **It will be essential that practices use an electronic health record (EHR) or have an ability to query billing data to participate in this project.**

During this project, teams will be asked to adopt a systematic approach for the performance of screening, recording, tracking, follow-up and outcome measurement through the use of improvement science methods. Data collection will involve patient chart review, survey of clinical care systems, monthly reports and calls, and mini-root cause analyses. Teams will take part in 4 virtual learning sessions (webinar-based) to work together with other participants on challenges and successful strategies and participate in applied educational content relating to the measures.

We believe there will be many benefits for participants involved in this nationally recognized project. Participants will have the opportunity to:

- Ensure their clinic is providing the safest and highest quality care to all patients
- Test strategies for improving care that will be translated into a national model
- Work with colleagues from around the country
- Learn from national experts and receive ongoing support for improvement
- Receive American Board of Pediatrics Part 4 Maintenance of Certification credit (if approved) *potentially up to 3 times*
- Reduce the likelihood of patient harm by identifying and decreasing diagnostic errors systemically

Please find a more detailed description of expectations for project participants.

Click [here](https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/recording/6477271743442424321) (<https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/recording/6477271743442424321>) to view a 35 minute pre-recorded Informational/Recruitment Webinar

In addition, we will be conducting an informational webinar on:
Wednesday, Apr 29 at 9AM Pacific/10AM Mountain/11AM Central/12Noon Eastern
Register at: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/5889887342448143618>

To apply for the project, please fill out the project application available at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/ProjectRedDE_Practice_App (PDF attached). As over 40 practices expressed interest in participating in this project during an initial survey of QuINN members, preference will be given to those applications received by June 3, 2015. Selected practices will be notified by **July 1, 2015.**

If you have any questions please contact Liz Rice-Conboy, MS, QuINN Program Manager at ericeconboy@aap.org. Thank you for your interest in this exciting project.

Sincerely,
Michael L. Rinke, MD, PhD, FAAP, Expert Group Chairperson and Principal Investigator

Reducing Diagnostic Errors in Primary Care Pediatrics (Project RedDE!)

Few studies rigorously investigate diagnostic error reduction efforts and even fewer focus on children.¹ A recent review led by a co-investigator of this proposal suggests a need for empirical studies to test interventions to reduce diagnostic errors, and that most existing studies focus on adults, lack rigorous process and outcome measures, suffer from non-experimental or quasi-experimental designs, and/or were limited to a single institution.¹⁰ Evidence for reducing pediatric diagnostic errors is rare and often not current: the implementation of a pediatric trauma team reduced delayed and missed diagnoses of major injuries from 4.3% to 0.46%.² Computer aided differential diagnoses reduced mean time to diagnosis of all pediatric inpatients from 2.8 days to 1.9 days in 1975.³ Research on interventions to prevent pediatric diagnostic errors is needed.

Project RedDE! will focus on 3 specific, high-risk, pediatric ambulatory diagnostic errors each representing a unique dimension of diagnostic assessment: evaluation of symptoms, evaluation of signs and follow-up of diagnostic tests. Adolescent depression (i.e. symptoms) affects nearly 10% of teenagers,⁴⁻⁷ is misdiagnosed in almost 75% of adolescents⁸ and causes significant morbidity.⁹ Signs of pediatric elevated blood pressure are misdiagnosed in 74-87% of patients,^{10,11} often due to inaccurate application of blood pressure parameters that change based on age, gender and height. Actionable pediatric laboratory values (diagnostic tests) are potentially delayed up to 26% of the time in preliminary investigations and 7-65% in adults,^{12,13} leading to harm and malpractice claims.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

The Expert Group have organized Project RedDE! as a multisite, prospective, stepped wedge cluster randomized trial testing a quality improvement collaborative (QIC) intervention within the American Academy of Pediatrics' Quality Improvement Innovation Networks (QUIIN) to reduce the incidence of pediatric primary care diagnostic errors. Through this project, the following resources and components will be available to participating practices during the designated intervention period:

- A project coach/mentor with expertise in the measure areas
- Monthly webinars with peer participating practices, expert group members and specialists
- Extensive interactive virtual learning sessions (4 during the 31 month period)
- Access to a project listserv to exchange ideas, strategies and learn from each others' failures.

Project RedDE! will focus on improving the appropriate identification and long term outcomes of children and youth with conditions and diagnoses that may be identifiable via diagnostic tests and labs. This project also focuses on improvements in systems that track children and youth with identifiable conditions and diagnoses in order for appropriate follow up, communication, and care coordination take place. This project is being funded through a grant between the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and Albert Einstein College of Medicine (AECOM) (1R01HS023608), to which the AAP is a subcontractor.

Project Aims

Thirty primary care practices will be included in the community of learners and will collaborate over up to 31 months to make improvements in practice. The specific aims of the project include:

Specific Aim: To determine whether a quality improvement collaborative consisting of evidence-based best-practice methodologies, mini-root cause analyses, data sharing, and behavior change techniques, is associated with a reduction in 3 specific diagnostic error rates in a national group of pediatric primary care practices.

The goal of this proposal is to reduce diagnostic errors by tracking diagnostic errors rates (outcomes measures) and reliably performing best practices for diagnosing adolescent depression, pediatric elevated blood pressure and actionable laboratory results (process measures). This three phase and three cohort intervention will consist of implementing QIC methodology in all of the practices to assist teams in reliably performing the processes that will reduce the diagnostic error outcomes via evidence-based tools. Each of the three cohorts will serve as an intervention site for one diagnostic error and a control site for another diagnostic error in the first phase. For 8 months, each of the three practice cohorts will test and implement improvements in this specific, randomly assigned initial diagnostic error. After this period, each cohort will continue improvements on their first diagnostic error and begin interventions to improve the diagnostic error for which they were a control site. During this time, they will function as a control site for the third diagnostic error. Following this second 8 month period, all cohorts will actively intervene on all 3 diagnostic errors. Practices will intervene on one diagnostic error for 24 months, one for 16 months and one for a minimum of 8 months.

Hypothesis 1: Implementation of a quality improvement collaborative will lead to a 40% reduction in missed diagnosis of adolescent depression.

Hypothesis 2: Implementation of a quality improvement collaborative will lead to a 30% reduction in missed diagnosis of pediatric elevated blood pressure.

Hypothesis 3: Implementation of a quality improvement collaborative will lead to a 45% reduction in delayed diagnosis of actionable laboratory results.

Three Secondary Aims/Hypotheses

- To determine if participation in a QIC effect changes for phase 1 versus phase 2 versus phase 3 participants, who serve as the control group in the first or second 8 months of the collaborative.
- To further investigate the epidemiology of three ambulatory pediatric diagnostic errors: missed diagnosis of adolescent depression, missed diagnosis of pediatric elevated blood pressure, and delayed diagnosis of actionable laboratory results.
- To evaluate patient outcomes related to these diagnoses including outcomes after positive depression screening, missed elevated blood pressure screening and delayed diagnosis of actionable laboratory values.

Diagnostic errors in ambulatory pediatrics cause significant morbidity and optimal prevention strategies are unknown. Based on adult literature, a multifaceted QIC strategy is needed to successfully address these errors. QICs are ready to serve as the system for testing the best practice methods of reducing preventable harm. Furthermore, this proposal will gain additional insights regarding the epidemiology of these diagnostic errors via baseline data collection. The outcomes of this project will serve as a foundation for future projects aimed at global reductions of pediatric diagnostic errors across settings and diagnoses.

Participating Practices

Applicants are expected to identify a core multidisciplinary improvement team of 3-5 members to lead the effort (these are considered the project participants). This team should be comprised of a lead physician, and include two additional persons, such as a manager, nurse, and/or administrative or office support staff. All applications received will be reviewed by the project's Expert Group. Once practices are selected to participate in the project, each physician and staff member on the core improvement team will be asked to sign a consent form. In addition, any lead physicians who are AAP member pediatricians will be asked to join the QIIN.

As part of quality improvement work, it is important for a practice to identify the core improvement team to lead the improvement efforts in the practice. Core improvement team members are considered quality improvement project participants as they are individuals who the QIIN Expert Group communicate with on a regular basis, are responsible for the data collection/entry, and attend live webinar learning sessions and monthly conference calls with other practice core improvement teams. They also relay information back to others in the practice so that improvements to the system can be made. These team members will be consented to participate and complete the duties outlined below.

Practice Selection

All applications received will be reviewed by the project's Expert Group, which is comprised of primary care provider leaders, pediatric geneticists, and quality improvement experts. Up to thirty-five primary care clinical teams will be selected, representing a diversity of geographical locations, practice settings (urban, rural, suburban), practice size, and type of organization (eg, private practice, FQHC, hospital outpatient departments). Practices will also be selected based on (1) having 30 well-visit encounters per month for age 3 and above, (2) having 30 well-visit encounters per month for age 11 and above, and (3) ability to pull patient records by age, labs performed and potentially by blood pressure.

It is advised that each practice as a whole have at least 30 encounters with unique patients aged 11 and above monthly and about 30 encounters with unique patients aged 3 and above monthly. No practices will be excluded based on size as even the smallest practices have sufficient patient panels to collect the data required over a 2 ½ -year period.

Applicants are expected to have identified a team and documented in the application the commitment of the senior leadership to support this project over an up to 31 month involvement period.

If selected, all core improvement team members will be asked to sign a consent form and the physician leader will be asked to join the Quality Improvement Innovation Networks (QIIN), a program of the American Academy of Pediatrics. Joining QIIN is free and easy and requires completion of a simple membership application available at <http://quiin.aap.org>.

Timeline for Participating Practices and Team Members for Project RedDE!

<p>Application Process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Form core improvement team and determine roles of each member Determine if local IRB will be necessary Complete project application Investigate capabilities in pulling eligible charts via EHR or billing records/paper charts at your practice 	<p>Pre-work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Practice selection and random assignment to 1 of three groups Submit consent form, practice inventory & baseline data collection for one diagnostic error measure Participate in orientation webinar Participate in Learning Session 1 	<p>8 month Phase #1</p>	<p>Phase #1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participate in 1-2 monthly video conferences Collect monthly data (chart review, process measures, and mini-root cause analysis) for two measures Participation in Learning Session 2 Test changes using PDSA cycles Provide feedback on tools Work with assigned coach/expert 	<p>8 month Phase #2</p>	<p>Phase #2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participate in Learning Session 2 Submit practice inventory survey Participate in 1-2 monthly video conferences Collect monthly data (chart review, process measures, and mini-root cause analysis) for three measures Test changes using PDSA cycles Provide feedback on tools Work with assigned coach/expert 	<p>8 month Phase #3</p>	<p>Phase #3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participate in Learning Session 3 Participate in 1-2 monthly video conferences Collect monthly data (chart review, process measures, and mini-root cause analysis) for three measures Test changes using PDSA cycles Provide feedback on tools Work with assigned coach/expert 	<p>Wrap-up phase:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submit practice inventory Participate in Learning Session 4
<p>March-June 2015</p>	<p>July-Sept 2015</p>		<p>Oct 2015-May 2016</p>		<p>June 2016-January 2017</p>		<p>February 2017-Sept 2017</p>	<p>Oct 2017-December 2017</p>

Benefits of Participation

Participation in this nationally recognized project would provide many benefits to involved teams:

- Test strategies for improving care that will become a national model
- Work with colleagues from around the country
- Learn from national experts and receive ongoing support for improvement
- Receive American Board of Pediatrics Part 4 Maintenance of Certification credit (if approved)
- Reduce the likelihood of patient harm by identifying and decreasing diagnostic errors systemically

Specific Expectations

Each of the 3-5 core improvement team members will:

- Be engaged in the project for an estimate of 31 months (including pre-work and evaluation) including data collection involving practice-wide QI activities for 24 months for one error, 16 months for one error and 8 months for one error.
- Agreeable to a random assignment to one of three groups. Each group or practices will enter three different phases where one of the three diagnostic errors will be subsequently added as an intervention in each phase. In the third phase of data collection for the project, all practices will be collecting data and performing interventions for each of the three diagnostic errors serving as the focus of this project. Please see the timeline for a visual description of the groups, phases and data collection expectations.
- Devote necessary resources and time to testing and implementing changes in practice over a specified action period (24 months of data entry), while collecting data from patient charts each month, and working to obtain buy-in from all members in this practice.
- Complete up 3-5 months of pre-work activities including the collection and entry of baseline chart data (50 charts for one of the diagnostic errors – the one first assigned to the practice team’s group cohort), identifying referring partners, and participate in a 45-75 minute live and recorded orientation webinar.
- If necessary, seek Institutional Review Board approval for participation prior to completion of pre-work.
- Regularly collect and transmit clinical measurements pertinent to the aims of the project.

- Learn the Model for Improvement and other quality improvement strategies.
- Make appropriate changes in the structure of how care is monitored and delivered to patients.
- All selected core improvement teams will submit up to 24 months of data that will consist of monthly evaluation of 17 eleven year-old or older patient charts; 10 three year-old and older patient charts with elevated blood pressure; and 10 patient charts with applicable actionable abnormal labs. The data will be identified by practice name and available for all project participants and leadership to view during the course of the project through the AAP QIDA. **Note:** Chart review will be completed using the QIDA system.
 - Included in this data collection plan above, each practice will collect two 8-month sets of diagnostic error data without specific interventions tied to the measure. These two periods of data collection will serve as a dataset to compare against the data collected during that practice's intervention phase and serve somewhat like a baseline for those two measures. Unlike the baseline of 50 charts, these datasets will represent 10-17 charts monthly for 8 months.
- Participate in 4 two-day live video conference learning sessions (learning sessions will be 6-12 business hours in length) on two consecutive days) to be held September ##-##, 2015, June 2016, February 2017 and October 2017. Learning sessions will not require any travel and will be conducted every 8-10 months during the project.
- Participate in 1-2 monthly webinars aimed at sharing best practices, reviewing collaborative data and using quality improvement resources.
- *During the intervention phases of data collection for two of the diagnostic errors, Collect three screening-related process measures for up to 12 months (no baseline data points required) for:*
 - Number of patients aged 11 and older who have a recorded depression screen in their chart for the last month (up to 14 data points)
 - Number of patients aged 3 and older with blood pressure checked and documented at triage for the last month (up to 14 data points)
 - Number of received laboratory results logged in a central clinic registry for the last month (up to 14 data points)
 - Completion of up to three mini-root cause analysis as a core improvement team per month for a diagnostic error. Each mini-root cause analysis will involve recording 15 standardized patient and systems factors that could have led to the error.
 - Each mini-root cause analysis will be collected via SurveyMonkey.

Quality Care Measure (1-time) data collection:

- Twelve months into the action period of the project, practices will be asked to identify more specific quality care outcome chart review information on the sub-set of *two* diagnostic error measures to record 25 charts in each measure:
 - (1) delayed diagnosis time: mean number of days until family notified of abnormal blood pressure,
 - (2) delayed adolescent depression diagnosis time: including mean number of days until family receives diagnosis of mental health disorder or confirmation that no disorder exists and up to 4 other post-positive adolescence screening follow-up questions, or
 - (3) delayed diagnosis time after an actionable lab: including mean number of days until family notified of abnormal actionable result and up to 4 other post-actionable lab follow-up questions.
- Completion of practice inventory to gather practice demographics, trends and behaviors three times via SurveyMonkey.
- Test innovations in care delivery, tracking the provision of appropriate care, and in the improvement of care to pediatric patients per the measurement plan.
- Participate in monthly coaching sessions or office hours with a QI coach.
- Share lessons learned and problem-solve with other participating practices through monthly conference calls and listserv e-mail.
- Use e-mail and the Internet on a regular basis for ongoing support, information, and communication among practice teams.
- Have a wired Internet connection and computer to connect to monthly video conferences, learning sessions and coaching session provided via the Zoom technology (<http://zoom.us/>)
- *Physician leader only: serve as Local Leader in the attestation process required by the American Board of Pediatrics (ABP) for Part 4 Maintenance of Certification (if approved). Includes providing each physician in practice interested in participating for MOC credit a document describing the requirements of their participation, monitoring physician participation, and attesting that they met the project's completion criteria.*

All clinicians in the practice are encouraged to participate in this project by using the tools and strategies identified and providing charts for review. **If approved** by the American Board of Pediatrics (ABP) for Part 4 Maintenance of Certification (MOC), physicians who would like to claim credit (including those not identified on the core improvement team), must meet the criteria established by the AAP and the minimum standards set by the ABP for all QI projects outlined below.

AAP-Established Minimum Criteria for Participation

Physicians are eligible for MOC Part 4 credit if they meet the following criteria:

- ☑ The project requires physician participation for pre-determined 8 month Phases. Physicians must:
- ☑ Lead the implementation for the Practice Improvement Network (PIN) Reducing Diagnostic Errors in Primary Care Pediatrics QI Project (Project RedDE!) project core changes for 8 months.
- ☑ Provide direct or consultative care to patients as part of the project
- ☑ **Physician Leader:** Attend 4 meetings that can be learning session webinars or conference calls/webinars where collaborative data are reviewed or plans for new improvement activities are made.
Other participating physicians: Attend at least 4 meetings at which collaborative data are reviewed and plans for improvement activities are made (can be local team meetings, conference calls/webinars, or learning sessions)
- ☑ Collect and submit data on a subset of patients as defined by the project
- ☑ Review periodic (monthly) run charts and use data to guide future improvements
- ☑ Implement change package ideas/tools designed to improve diagnosis errors

ABP Minimum Standards for Participation for All QI Projects

- Provide direct or consultative patient care in the improvement project
- Complete 1 or more tests of change to improve care
- Collect, submit and review data in keeping with the project's measurement plan
- Collaborate actively by attending at least 4 project meetings
- Maintain active in the project for the minimum duration required by the project (minimum criteria established by AAP)
- Complete participation under current ABP certificate or MOC cycle

Data Sharing and Reporting

As part of the quality improvement project, participants will share data with project participants and leadership. This will allow practices to learn from one another and share strategies and barriers. In addition, it will allow the project leadership to continually identify needs and offer ongoing support and assistance to the participants.

QUIN Expert Group members (consisting of AAP staff, QI Advisor, and expert leaders) will have access to the identifiable (by practice) data from the chart reviews, root cause analysis, annual practice demographic surveys and anecdotes and stories shared via the listserv and in learning sessions, allowing them to identify areas of need and provide ongoing assistance throughout the project.

For quality improvement purposes, data will be aggregated by practice. In addition, practices will be identified by name in communication for quality improvement purposes. Each participating team will be able to use the secure password protected QIDA system to view reports of their practice's aggregate data, as well as aggregate data from other practices. Data collected will not include protected health information. Data will be stored on a secure network with password protection. Project data will be stored indefinitely in the QIDA system, but once a project closes, only AAP QIDA staff will have access to the data.

For research and publications that may result from this work, all data will be reported in aggregate, and individual and practice data will not be identifiable. If practice data is presented, each practice will receive an ID number in the report. Potential publications may include a conceptual model of key barriers and potentially useful strategies that emerged from this project. No patients or practice staff will be identified in any report or publication about this study. Practice names will only be used in the acknowledgement section of any potential publication.

Institutional Review Board (IRB)

Project RedDE! has received approval from the AAP Institutional Review Board. No identifiable protected health information is being collected for this project; therefore, HIPAA authorization will not be needed from patients in order for your practice to participate. Note: your hospital or healthcare system may also require IRB approval. Often, the information supplied in the AAP IRB Application will be applicable to your own local or hospital IRB application as well and may be sufficient. If not, the AAP IRB application will be provided so that it can be adapted to meet your needs; a copy of the AAP IRB will be made available upon your request.

Application Checklist

- Please review the following documents:
 - Project Overview and Requirements Sheet
 - Electronic Application
- After reviewing the above materials, please submit the electronic application (due **June 3, 2015** for full consideration)
- If you are interested in obtaining a letter of support from the Project RedDE! leadership that can be shared with the leadership at your organization, please contact Liz Rice-Conboy, with this request.
- Upon our receipt of your application materials, the application will be reviewed by the Expert Group. Once project teams have been selected, we will contact you to welcome your team to the project.
- Please do not hesitate to contact Liz Rice-Conboy, MS, QULIN: ericeconboy@aap.org or 847/434-7103

We look forward to the opportunity of working with you and your team!

References

1. McDonald KM, Matesic B, Contopoulos-loannidis DG, et al. Patient safety strategies targeted at diagnostic errors: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;158:381-9.
2. Perno JF, Schunk JE, Hansen KW, Furnival RA. Significant reduction in delayed diagnosis of injury with implementation of a pediatric trauma service. *Pediatric emergency care* 2005;21:367-71.
3. Wexler JR, Swender PT, Tunnessen WW, Jr., Oski FA. Impact of a system of computer-assisted diagnosis. Initial evaluation of the hospitalized patient. *American journal of diseases of children* 1975;129:203-5.
4. Garrison CZ, Addy CL, Jackson KL, McKeown RE, Waller JL. Major depressive disorder and dysthymia in young adolescents. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;135:792-802.
5. Whitaker A, Johnson J, Shaffer D, et al. Uncommon troubles in young people: prevalence estimates of selected psychiatric disorders in a nonreferred adolescent population. *Archives of general psychiatry* 1990;47:487-96.
6. Shaffer D, Fisher P, Dulcan MK, et al. The NIMH Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children Version 2.3 (DISC-2.3): description, acceptability, prevalence rates, and performance in the MECA Study. *Methods for the Epidemiology of Child and Adolescent Mental Disorders Study. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 1996;35:865-77.
7. Lewinsohn PM, Hops H, Roberts RE, Seeley JR, Andrews JA. Adolescent psychopathology: I. Prevalence and incidence of depression and other DSM-III-R disorders in high school students. *Journal of abnormal psychology* 1993;102:133-44.
8. Glazebrook C, Hollis C, Heussler H, Goodman R, Coates L. Detecting emotional and behavioural problems in paediatric clinics. *Child: care, health and development* 2003;29:141-9.
9. Eaton DK, Kann L, Kinchen S, et al. Youth risk behavior surveillance - United States, 2011. *Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries* 2012;61:1-162.
10. Brady TM, Neu AM, Siberry G, Solomon B. Increased Provider Recognition of Elevated Blood Pressure in Children. *American Society of Nephrology*; 2012; San Diego, CA.
11. Hansen ML, Gunn PW, Kaelber DC. Underdiagnosis of hypertension in children and adolescents. *JAMA* 2007;298:874-9.
12. Ealovega MW, Tabaei BP, Brandle M, Burke R, Herman WH. Opportunistic screening for diabetes in routine clinical practice. *Diabetes care* 2004;27:9-12.
13. Singh H, Thomas EJ, Sittig DF, et al. Notification of abnormal lab test results in an electronic medical record: do any safety concerns remain? *The American journal of medicine* 2010;123:238-44.
14. Wahls TL, Cram PM. The frequency of missed test results and associated treatment delays in a highly computerized health system. *BMC family practice* 2007;8:32.
15. Callen J, Georgiou A, Li J, Westbrook JI. The safety implications of missed test results for hospitalised patients: a systematic review. *BMJ quality & safety* 2011;20:194-9.
16. Gandhi TK, Kachalia A, Thomas EJ, et al. Missed and delayed diagnoses in the ambulatory setting: a study of closed malpractice claims. *Annals of internal medicine* 2006;145:488-96.